



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Artificial Intelligence in Invasive Species Management: Transforming Detection and Response

Aqsa Shafiq¹, Muhammad Aftab^{2,3,4*} and Muhammad Mumtaz Ali⁵

¹Department of Zoology, University of Okara, Pakistan

²Pathophysiology Department, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, 450001, China

³Tianjian Laboratory of Advanced Biomedical Sciences, Academy of Medical Sciences, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, 450001, China

⁴State Key Laboratory of Esophageal Cancer Prevention and Treatment, Zhengzhou, Henan 450000, China

⁵School of Computer and Artificial Intelligence, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450001, China

*Corresponding author: maftab@gs.zzu.edu.cn

Article History: 24-044

Received: 24-Jun-2024

Revised: 25-Aug-2024

Accepted: 02-Sep-2024

ABSTRACT

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) presents transformative opportunities for the management of invasive species, a critical threat to global biodiversity, ecosystems, and economies. This review explores the integration of AI technologies—such as machine learning, environmental DNA (eDNA) barcoding, and predictive modeling—into the detection, monitoring, and management of invasive species. AI-based methods have demonstrated superior capabilities in early detection, offering faster, more accurate identification of invasive species than traditional approaches. These technologies enable predictive modeling that can forecast the spread of invasions, thereby enhancing the effectiveness of early detection and rapid response (EDRR) strategies. Additionally, AI-driven decision support systems and automated monitoring tools optimize resource allocation, making management efforts more efficient and effective. Despite these advancements, the deployment of AI in invasive species management presents significant challenges, including data availability, algorithmic bias, ethical considerations, and the risk of over-reliance on AI at the expense of traditional ecological expertise. The successful integration of AI requires addressing these challenges through interdisciplinary collaboration, ensuring that AI serves as a complementary tool that enhances human decision-making rather than replacing it. Looking forward, the role of AI in invasive species management is expected to expand, driven by ongoing technological innovations and the increasing need for global coordination in combating biological invasions. Future developments may include more adaptive AI systems capable of real-time learning, integration with other emerging technologies such as drones and bioinformatics, and a greater emphasis on ethical considerations and equitable access to AI tools. This review highlights the potential of AI to revolutionize invasive species management while emphasizing the importance of responsible and ethical deployment to protect ecosystems and biodiversity.

Key words: Artificial Intelligence (AI), Invasive Species Management, Early Detection and Rapid Response (EDRR), Predictive Modeling, Conservation Technology

INTRODUCTION

Invasive species are being increasingly acknowledged as a threat causing irreversible damage, to biodiversity, ecosystems and economies worldwide. These species are often brought in through trade, tourism or other human actions (Molnar et al.,

2008). Quickly adapt to new environments, outcompeting native species and disrupting local ecosystems (Wong & Candolin, 2015). The ecological effects are substantial leading to changes in community structures habitat degradation and even the extinction of species (Valiente-Banuet et al., 2015). Economically invasive species cause billions of dollars in damages

Cite This Article as: Shafiq A, Aftab M and Ali MM, 2024. Artificial intelligence in invasive species management: transforming detection and response. Trends in Animal and Plant Sciences 4: 82-96. <https://doi.org/10.62324/TAPS/2024.050>

that impact agriculture, fisheries, forestry and water resources (Warziniack et al., 2021). For example, the economic toll of species in the United States is estimated to surpass \$120 billion (Pimentel et al., 2005). Traditional methods like removal and chemical treatments have proven insufficient in managing species effectively as they tend to be reactive measures taken after invasions have spread widely. This makes eradication more challenging and costly (Venette et al., 2021).

The shortcomings of these methods are especially evident with the increase in globalization that has enabled the movement of species across borders on an unprecedented scale (Rees, 2006). With ecosystems becoming more interconnected than before there is a pressing need for proactive strategies, for managing invasive species effectively (Meyerson et al., 2022).

Relying solely on surveys and manual identification methods is not just demanding in terms of labor and time. It also frequently falls short in spotting invasive species early on (Anthony, 2017). This delay, in detection gives species the opportunity to settle in expand and make future control measures more challenging (Reid et al., 2019).

Importance of Early Detection and Management

The saying "prevention is better, than cure" holds when dealing with species management (Morodi, 2016). Early detection and swift response strategies, known as EDRR are widely acknowledged as the methods to stop invasive species from establishing and spreading (Westbrooks et al., 2022). The idea behind EDRR is simple; by catching invasions on and taking action we can avoid the ecological and economic harm that comes with established invasive species populations (Martinez et al., 2020). This proactive approach differs greatly from reactive management strategies, which often involve resource intensive efforts to control or eliminate species that have already spread extensively (Early et al., 2016).

The effectiveness of EDRR strategies is well documented. For instance, successfully eradicating the longhorn beetle (*Anoplophora glabripennis*) from various urban areas in the United States was largely due, to detecting the beetle early on and swiftly mobilizing resources to remove infested trees before it could spread further (Haack et al., 2010). Nonetheless the success of EDRR strategies heavily relies on our ability to detect species promptly and accurately a challenge that has historically been quite significant (De Groot et al., 2020).

In today's evolving landscape it is crucial to detect issues early on (Antrop, 2005). Factors, like climate change, urbanization and human activities are reshaping ecosystems in a manner that could make them more vulnerable to invasions (Johnston et al., 2017). The conventional detection techniques, mainly based on surveys and manual identification fall short in this scenario (Comtet et al., 2015). There is a pressing

demand, for methods that offer precise data on the existence and expansion of invasive species (Martinez et al., 2020).

Emergence of AI as a Tool

In recent years, the emergence of artificial intelligence (AI) has revolutionized numerous fields, from healthcare and finance to transportation and communication (Siddiqui, 2023). The potential of AI to transform invasive species management is equally profound (Dauvergne, 2020). AI technologies, particularly those involving machine learning (ML), have demonstrated remarkable capabilities in processing vast amounts of data, identifying patterns, and making predictions with a level of accuracy and speed that far surpasses human capabilities (Górriz et al., 2020). These attributes make AI an invaluable tool in the early detection and management of invasive species (Lodge et al., 2016).

Machine learning, a subset of AI, involves the development of algorithms that can learn from and make predictions based on data (Sarker, 2021). In the context of invasive species management, ML algorithms can be trained to recognize specific features of invasive species—such as their appearance, behavior, or environmental impact—based on large datasets (da Silva et al., 2023). Once trained, these algorithms can be deployed in various applications, from image recognition and remote sensing to predictive modeling and decision support systems (Alibabaei et al., 2022).

The integration of AI into invasive species management offers several key advantages (Martinez et al., 2020). First, AI can significantly enhance the speed and accuracy of species identification, enabling the detection of invasive species in their early stages of establishment (Gonzalez et al., 2016). Second, AI-driven models can predict the spread of invasive species, providing critical information for prioritizing surveillance and management efforts (Chisom et al., 2024). Third, AI can optimize the allocation of resources, ensuring that management efforts are both effective and cost-efficient (Guan et al., 2021).

As AI continues to advance, its potential applications in invasive species management are expanding (Dauvergne, 2020). From automated monitoring systems and decision support tools to real-time simulations and scenario planning, AI is poised to play a central role in the future of invasive species management (van Rees et al., 2022). However, the successful integration of AI into this field will require careful consideration of several challenges, including data availability, algorithmic bias (Aldoseri et al., 2023), and the need for interdisciplinary collaboration (Whittlestone et al., 2019).

The Role of AI in Early Detection of Invasive Species AI-based Detection Methods

AI-based detection methods are at the forefront of

modern invasive species management, offering unprecedented capabilities for identifying and monitoring these species across diverse environments (Lahoz-Monfort & Magrath, 2021). Among the most promising of these methods are Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), a class of deep learning models that have revolutionized the field of image recognition (Traore et al., 2018). CNNs are particularly well-suited to the task of identifying invasive species from images or video footage (Brodrick et al., 2019), as they are capable of learning and recognizing complex patterns and features that are indicative of specific species (Cohen & Lewis, 2020).

The application of CNNs in invasive species management has already yielded impressive results (Rakhmatulin et al., 2021). For instance, CNNs have been successfully used to identify invasive plant species in complex natural environments, such as dense forests or wetlands, where traditional methods of species identification are challenging (Lake et al., 2022). By analyzing thousands of labeled images, CNNs can learn to distinguish invasive species from native ones with a high degree of accuracy, even in cases where the visual differences are subtle (Kattenborn et al., 2021). This capability not only enhances the speed and accuracy of detection efforts but also reduces the need for extensive fieldwork, allowing for more efficient use of resources (Chalmers et al., 2019).

In addition to image recognition, AI-based detection methods are increasingly being applied to data from remote sensing technologies, such as satellite imagery and drone-based surveys (Argyrou & Agapiou, 2022). These technologies provide a broad overview of large and often inaccessible areas (Sharma et al., 2022), capturing data that can be analyzed by AI algorithms to detect changes in land cover, vegetation patterns, or other environmental indicators that may signal the presence of invasive species (Paliwal et al., 2024). For example, AI-driven analysis of satellite imagery has been used to monitor the spread of invasive aquatic plants in large water bodies (Nininahazwe et al., 2023), enabling early intervention before these species can establish themselves and cause significant harm (Causevic et al., 2024).

The integration of AI with remote sensing is particularly valuable in regions where traditional survey methods are impractical or impossible (Chen et al., 2023). In vast, remote, or otherwise inaccessible areas, AI-driven remote sensing can provide continuous, real-time monitoring, allowing for the rapid detection of invasive species as soon as they begin to spread (Chisom et al., 2024). This capability is crucial for the timely implementation of EDRR strategies, which are most effective when applied at the earliest stages of an invasion (Adoyo et al., 2022).

Environmental DNA (eDNA) Barcoding

Environmental DNA (eDNA) barcoding represents a revolutionary approach to species detection,

leveraging the power of AI to analyze genetic material collected from environmental samples, such as water, soil, or air (Stefanni et al., 2022). Unlike traditional methods of species identification, which often rely on visual or auditory cues, eDNA barcoding can detect the presence of species based on the genetic material they leave behind in the environment (Kyle, 2023). This method is particularly useful for detecting species that are difficult to observe directly, such as those that are rare, cryptic, or present in low densities (Beng & Corlett, 2020).

The application of AI to eDNA barcoding has significantly enhanced the sensitivity and efficiency of this method (Zhang et al., 2023). AI algorithms can process and analyze the vast amounts of genetic data generated by eDNA sampling, identifying the presence of invasive species with a level of accuracy and speed that would be impossible for humans to achieve (Demertzis & Iliadis, 2017). For example, AI-enhanced eDNA barcoding has been used to detect the American bullfrog (*Lithobates catesbeianus*), an invasive species in Europe, at densities that would be undetectable using traditional survey methods (Dejean et al., 2012). This capability is critical for the early detection of invasive species, as it allows for the identification of invasions before they become widespread and difficult to manage (Hulme, 2006).

Moreover, eDNA barcoding, when combined with AI, offers the ability to detect multiple species simultaneously, providing a comprehensive picture of the biodiversity within an ecosystem (Zhang et al., 2023). This multi-species detection capability is particularly valuable in complex ecosystems where multiple invasive species may be present. By identifying all of the species in an environment, including both native and invasive ones, eDNA barcoding can inform more targeted and effective management strategies (Zhang et al., 2023).

In addition to its applications in detecting invasive species, eDNA barcoding can also be used to monitor the effectiveness of management efforts (Morissette et al., 2021). By analyzing eDNA samples before and after the implementation of control measures, AI algorithms can provide insights into the impact of these measures on the target species and the broader ecosystem (Norros et al., 2022). This feedback loop is essential for refining management strategies and ensuring that they are both effective and sustainable (Dauvergne, 2020).

Predictive Modeling for Invasive Species Spread

Predictive modeling is one of the most powerful applications of AI in invasive species management, providing the ability to forecast the spread of invasive species based on a wide range of ecological and environmental factors (Stohlgren & Schnase, 2006). AI-driven predictive models can analyze vast datasets, including climate data, habitat suitability, human activity, and species behavior (Levy & Shahar, 2024), to generate accurate predictions about where and when

an invasive species is likely to spread. These predictions are invaluable for prioritizing surveillance efforts, allocating resources, and implementing targeted management interventions (Ditria et al., 2022).

Among the most advanced AI techniques used in predictive modeling are Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks, a type of recurrent neural network that is particularly well suited to analyzing time series data (Sahoo et al., 2019). LSTM networks can learn from sequential data, such as the progression of an invasion over time, to make predictions about future trends (Garcia-Moreno et al., 2024). This capability is critical in the context of invasive species management, where understanding the dynamics of species spread is essential for effective intervention (Hulme, 2006). For example, LSTM models have been used to predict the spread of invasive insects, such as the emerald ash borer (*Agrilus planipennis*), across North American forests (Valicharla et al., 2023). These predictions enable forest managers to implement control measures in high-risk areas before the insect can cause extensive damage (Nahrung et al., 2023).

Another important aspect of predictive modeling is the integration of geospatial analysis with AI (VoPham et al., 2018). Geospatial models can map potential invasion routes and identify high-risk areas based on environmental variables such as temperature, precipitation, land use, and proximity to transportation corridors (Thomas et al., 2017). When combined with AI, these models can analyze complex spatial data to predict the spread of invasive species across landscapes, providing a critical tool for regional and national management efforts (Yang et al., 2022).

For example, AI-driven geospatial models have been used to predict the spread of invasive aquatic plants in the Great Lakes (Escobar et al., 2018), a region particularly vulnerable to biological invasions due to its extensive network of interconnected waterways and high levels of maritime traffic (Keller et al., 2011). These models have helped managers identify areas most at risk of invasion and prioritize surveillance and intervention efforts accordingly, leading to more effective management outcomes (Cuthbert et al., 2022).

The use of AI in predictive modeling also allows for the simulation of different management scenarios, providing valuable insights into the potential outcomes of various strategies (Ortiz-Barrios et al., 2023). By simulating the spread of an invasive species under different conditions—such as varying levels of human intervention, changes in climate, or the introduction of biological control agents—managers can explore the potential effectiveness of different approaches and make more informed decisions. This capability is particularly important in complex and dynamic environments where the impacts of management actions can be difficult to predict (Lodge et al., 2016) (Venette et al., 2021).

Comparative Analysis of AI-based Detection vs. Traditional Methods

The integration of AI into invasive species detection offers several distinct advantages over traditional methods, which are often labor-intensive, time-consuming, and limited in scope (Rakhmatulin et al., 2021). Traditional methods, such as manual surveys and visual inspections, rely heavily on human expertise and can be prone to errors, particularly in challenging environments where species are difficult to detect (Beijbom et al., 2015). In contrast, AI-based methods can process and analyze vast amounts of data quickly and accurately, enabling the detection of invasive species in real-time and across large areas (Sharma et al., 2023).

One of the key strengths of AI-based detection is its scalability. While traditional methods are typically constrained by the availability of personnel and resources (Gudala et al., 2019), AI systems can be deployed across multiple locations simultaneously, providing continuous monitoring and detection capabilities (Alshamrani, 2022). For example, AI-driven drones equipped with image recognition algorithms can survey large areas of forest or coastline, identifying invasive species with a high degree of accuracy and transmitting data in real-time to central management hubs (Mohan et al., 2021). This scalability is particularly important in remote or inaccessible regions where traditional survey methods are impractical (Opitz & Herrmann, 2018).

Another advantage of AI-based detection is its ability to improve over time (Viscaino et al., 2021). Machine learning models, such as CNNs and LSTMs, are designed to learn from data, meaning that their accuracy and effectiveness can increase as they are exposed to more information (Agga et al., 2022). This iterative learning process allows AI systems to adapt to new environments, species, and conditions, continually refining their detection capabilities (Høye et al., 2021). In contrast, traditional methods often require extensive retraining or recalibration when applied to new contexts (Knoll et al., 2020).

However, the implementation of AI-based detection methods also presents challenges, particularly related to data quality and algorithmic bias (Aldoseri et al., 2023). AI models rely on large datasets for training, and the accuracy of their predictions is heavily influenced by the quality of the data they are trained on (Liang et al., 2022). If the training data is biased or unrepresentative, the AI model may produce skewed results, leading to incorrect or suboptimal management decisions (Chen et al., 2023). Addressing these challenges requires careful attention to data collection and curation, as well as ongoing validation and testing of AI models to ensure their reliability (Liang et al., 2022).

Despite these challenges, the benefits of AI in invasive species detection are substantial (Darling & Blum, 2007). By enhancing the speed, accuracy, and

scalability of detection efforts, AI offers a powerful tool for improving the effectiveness of early detection and rapid response strategies (Gudala et al., 2019). As these technologies continue to evolve, they have the potential to fundamentally change the way we manage invasive species, making it possible to detect and respond to invasions before they cause significant harm (Martinez et al., 2020).

AI-driven Management Strategies for Invasive Species Automated Monitoring Systems

AI-driven automated monitoring systems represent a significant advancement in the management of invasive species, providing continuous, real-time surveillance capabilities that were previously unattainable (Chisom et al., 2024). These systems leverage a combination of sensors, cameras, and AI algorithms to monitor ecosystems for the presence of invasive species, automatically identifying and reporting any detections (Martinez et al., 2020). This approach reduces the need for manual monitoring, freeing up resources and personnel for other critical tasks (Duflou et al., 2012).

One of the key benefits of automated monitoring systems is their ability to operate continuously, providing round-the-clock surveillance that is not limited by human availability or weather conditions (Chan et al., 2012). For example, AI-powered camera traps can be deployed in forests, wetlands, or other sensitive habitats, capturing images of passing wildlife and analyzing them in real-time to detect the presence of invasive species (Høye et al., 2023). When an invasive species is detected, the system can automatically trigger an alert, notifying managers and enabling a rapid response (Martinez et al., 2020).

The integration of AI with the Internet of Things (IoT) further enhances the capabilities of automated monitoring systems (Pramanik et al., 2018). IoT devices, such as sensors and cameras, can be networked together to create a comprehensive monitoring system that covers large areas and multiple environments (Abdul-Qawy et al., 2015). These devices can communicate with each other and with central management hubs, providing a continuous flow of data that can be analyzed in real-time by AI algorithms (Singh et al., 2020). This level of connectivity allows for more precise and coordinated management efforts, as managers can quickly respond to detections and adjust their strategies based on the latest information (Moynihan, 2008).

Automated monitoring systems are also highly scalable, making them suitable for use in a wide range of environments, from urban areas to remote wilderness (Fascista, 2022). In urban environments, AI-driven monitoring systems can be used to detect invasive species in parks, gardens, and other green spaces, helping to prevent the spread of these species into natural areas (Prodanovic et al., 2024). In remote or inaccessible regions, automated systems can provide

critical surveillance capabilities where traditional monitoring methods are impractical or impossible (Belwafi et al., 2022).

Decision Support Systems

Decision support systems (DSS) powered by AI are transforming the way managers make decisions about invasive species control and eradication (Filip, 2008). These systems integrate a wide range of data sources, including environmental conditions, species behavior, and historical management outcomes, to provide actionable insights and recommendations (Baldin et al., 2021). By analyzing complex datasets, AI-driven DSS can identify the most effective strategies for controlling invasive species, optimizing resource allocation and improving management outcomes (Lévy, 2024).

AI-based DSS are particularly valuable in situations where managers must make decisions quickly and with incomplete information (Gupta et al., 2022). For example, in the early stages of an invasion, managers may need to decide where to allocate resources for surveillance and control efforts (Hulme, 2006). AI-driven DSS can analyze data from multiple sources, such as remote sensing, eDNA barcoding (Dogan et al., 2024), and field surveys, to identify high-risk areas and recommend the most effective use of resources (Majeed & Hwang, 2021). This ability to rapidly synthesize information and generate actionable insights is critical for the success of early detection and rapid response strategies (Mårtensson et al., 2013).

In addition to providing real-time recommendations, AI-based DSS can also be used to evaluate the potential outcomes of different management strategies (Gupta et al., 2022). By simulating various scenarios, these systems can help managers assess the risks and benefits of different approaches, allowing them to choose the strategy that is most likely to succeed (Haimes, 2011). For example, an AI-driven DSS might simulate the impact of introducing a biological control agent to a specific area, taking into account factors such as climate, habitat suitability, and the potential for non-target effects (Halubanza, 2024). This level of analysis enables managers to make more informed decisions, reducing the likelihood of unintended consequences and improving the overall effectiveness of management efforts (Werner & Asch, 2005).

The integration of AI into DSS also facilitates adaptive management, a dynamic approach to managing invasive species that involves continuously monitoring outcomes and adjusting strategies as needed (Ditria et al., 2022). By providing real-time feedback on the effectiveness of management actions, AI-driven DSS enable managers to adapt their strategies in response to changing conditions, ensuring that resources are used as efficiently as possible (Anumandla, 2018). This adaptive approach is particularly important in the context of invasive species management, where the success of control efforts can

depend on rapidly changing environmental conditions and species behavior (Pyšek & Richardson, 2010).

Simulation and Scenario Planning

Simulation and scenario planning are critical components of AI-driven management strategies, providing managers with the tools they need to anticipate and prepare for potential future challenges (Skulimowski & Bañuls, 2021). AI-based simulation models can replicate the dynamics of invasive species spread under different conditions, allowing managers to explore the potential outcomes of various management strategies before they are implemented in the field (Martinez et al., 2020). This capability is particularly valuable in complex and dynamic environments where the impacts of management actions can be difficult to predict (Hasegan et al., 2018).

For example, AI-driven simulation models can be used to assess the potential impact of climate change on the spread of invasive species (Ali et al., 2024). By incorporating climate data into predictive models, managers can simulate how changes in temperature, precipitation, and other environmental variables might affect the distribution and behavior of invasive species (Finch et al., 2021). These simulations can help identify areas that are likely to become more vulnerable to invasions in the future, enabling proactive management efforts to mitigate the risk (van Rees et al., 2022).

Scenario planning with AI also allows managers to evaluate the trade-offs between different management strategies (Miller et al., 2023). For instance, a scenario might explore the potential outcomes of focusing resources on early detection versus investing in long-term control measures (Miller & Waller, 2003). By simulating these scenarios, managers can gain insights into the relative effectiveness of different approaches, helping them make more informed decisions about how to allocate resources (Groves & Lempert, 2007).

The ability to simulate different scenarios and explore their potential outcomes is particularly important in the context of invasive species management, where the consequences of decisions can be far-reaching and difficult to reverse (Pyšek & Richardson, 2010). By using AI to model the potential impacts of different strategies, managers can identify the most effective approaches for preventing and controlling invasions, reducing the likelihood of costly mistakes and improving the overall effectiveness of their efforts (Ish et al., 2021).

Challenges and Ethical Considerations

While the use of AI in invasive species management offers many benefits, it also raises significant challenges and ethical considerations that must be carefully addressed to ensure that these technologies are used responsibly and effectively (Stahl, 2021). One of the primary challenges is the potential for algorithmic bias, which can arise if the AI models are

trained on unrepresentative or biased data (Ferrara, 2023). For example, if an AI system used for species detection is trained primarily on data from certain regions or environments, it may not perform well when applied to different contexts (Wäldchen & Mäder, 2018). This could lead to misidentification of species, underestimation of invasion risks, or inappropriate management recommendations (Clarke et al., 2021).

To mitigate the risk of algorithmic bias, it is crucial to ensure that the training data used for AI models is diverse, representative, and of high quality (Ferrara, 2023). This requires careful data collection and curation, as well as ongoing validation and testing of AI models to ensure that they perform accurately across different environments and species (Alves et al., 2021). Moreover, transparency in the development and deployment of AI systems is essential (Felzmann et al., 2020). Stakeholders, including ecologists, policymakers, and the public, should have access to information about how AI models are trained, what data they use, and how their predictions are generated. This transparency is key to building trust in AI systems and ensuring that their outputs are reliable and interpretable (Shin, 2023).

Another significant challenge is the potential for over-reliance on AI systems, which could lead to a reduction in human oversight and critical thinking in decision-making processes (Zhai et al., 2024). While AI can process and analyze data at scales and speeds beyond human capability, it lacks the contextual understanding and judgment that experienced human managers bring to the table (Tambe et al., 2019). There is a risk that AI-generated recommendations could be followed too rigidly, without considering the broader ecological, social, and ethical implications (Dirgová Luptáková et al., 2023).

To address this challenge, it is important to integrate AI into a broader decision-making framework that includes human expertise and judgment. AI should be seen as a tool that supports, rather than replaces, human decision-making (Jarrahi, 2018). In practice, this means using AI-generated insights as one component of a comprehensive management strategy that also takes into account local knowledge, ecological principles, and stakeholder values (Díaz-Rodríguez et al., 2023). By fostering a collaborative approach that combines the strengths of AI with human expertise, we can ensure that management decisions are both scientifically sound and contextually appropriate (Ala-Pietilä & Smuha, 2021).

Ethical considerations also play a critical role in the use of AI for invasive species management (Stahl, 2021). One ethical concern is the potential impact of AI-driven management actions on non-target species and ecosystems (Coghlan & Parker, 2023). For example, AI models might recommend the use of chemical treatments or biological control agents to manage invasive species, but these interventions could have unintended consequences for native species or

ecosystem functions (Demirel & Kumral, 2021). It is essential to carefully evaluate the potential risks and benefits of management actions, and to consider alternative approaches that minimize harm to non-target species and ecosystems (Biondi et al., 2012).

Moreover, the use of AI in invasive species management raises questions about the distribution of resources and benefits (Hilbeck et al., 2008). For instance, the development and deployment of AI technologies may be more feasible in wealthier regions with access to advanced computing resources and expertise, potentially exacerbating existing inequalities in conservation efforts (Dauvergne, 2020). Ensuring that the benefits of AI are accessible to all regions, including those with limited resources, is an important ethical consideration (Morley et al., 2020). This could involve capacity-building initiatives, knowledge sharing, and the development of low-cost, scalable AI solutions that can be deployed in a variety of contexts (Sey & Mudongo, 2021).

Finally, there is the issue of data privacy and security, particularly when AI systems rely on data collected from public or private lands (Gupta et al., 2020). It is important to establish clear guidelines for data collection, storage, and use, ensuring that the privacy rights of individuals and communities are respected (Ohmann et al., 2017). This includes obtaining informed consent for data collection, implementing secure data storage practices, and ensuring that data is used in ways that align with the values and interests of local communities (Wong et al., 2022).

Applications and Case Studies

Success Stories

The application of AI in invasive species management has already led to several notable success stories, demonstrating the potential of these technologies to transform how we detect and manage biological invasions (Martinez et al., 2020). One such success story comes from the use of AI-driven image recognition systems to monitor invasive plant species in protected areas (Isabelle & Westerlund, 2022). In one national park, AI-powered drones equipped with cameras and machine learning algorithms were deployed to survey large tracts of land, identifying invasive plants with high accuracy (Buchelt et al., 2024). The data collected by these drones enabled park managers to rapidly target and remove invasive species, preventing them from spreading further and reducing the overall impact on the ecosystem (Jiménez López & Mulero-Pázmány, 2019).

Another significant success has been the use of AI-enhanced eDNA barcoding to monitor aquatic invasive species (Palvi, 2023). In one case, researchers used eDNA sampling combined with AI algorithms to detect the presence of invasive zebra mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha*) in a series of lakes (Darling & Mahon, 2011). The AI system was able to identify the mussels at extremely low densities, well before they could

establish large populations (Galloway et al., 2022). This early detection allowed for the implementation of rapid response measures, including the use of targeted chemical treatments and physical barriers, which successfully eradicated the mussels from the affected lakes (Bae & Park, 2014).

In addition to these examples, AI has been instrumental in improving the effectiveness of biological control programs (Nega, 2014). For instance, AI-driven predictive models have been used to optimize the release of biological control agents, such as predatory insects or pathogens, to combat invasive species (Lantschner et al., 2019). By simulating different release strategies and environmental conditions, these models have helped researchers identify the most effective approaches, leading to successful control of invasive pests in agricultural and natural ecosystems (Way & Van Emden, 2000).

These success stories highlight the transformative potential of AI in invasive species management. By enhancing the speed, accuracy, and scalability of detection and control efforts, AI is helping to protect ecosystems from the devastating impacts of invasive species (Martinez et al., 2020). As these technologies continue to evolve, they are likely to play an increasingly important role in conservation and biodiversity protection efforts around the world (Shivaprakash et al., 2022).

Regional and Global Case Studies

The application of AI in invasive species management is not limited to specific regions; rather, it has the potential to address invasive species challenges on a global scale (Martinez et al., 2020). However, the implementation and success of AI-driven approaches can vary significantly depending on regional factors, including the availability of data, technological infrastructure, and local expertise (Bachmann et al., 2022).

In North America, for example, AI has been widely used to monitor and manage invasive species in both terrestrial and aquatic environments (Martinez et al., 2020). One notable case is the use of AI-driven models to predict the spread of the emerald ash borer (*Agrilus planipennis*) across the United States and Canada (Økland et al., 2012). By analyzing data on climate, host tree distribution, and human activity, these models have provided valuable insights into the likely spread of this destructive insect, enabling more targeted and effective management efforts (Dukes et al., 2009).

In Europe, AI has been employed to monitor and control invasive species in a variety of ecosystems, including forests, wetlands, and coastal areas (Shivaprakash et al., 2022). For instance, AI-enhanced remote sensing technologies have been used to detect and map the spread of invasive plant species in Mediterranean ecosystems, where traditional survey methods are often hindered by rugged terrain and dense vegetation (Pal et al., 2023). These AI-driven

efforts have helped to identify high-risk areas and prioritize management actions, leading to more efficient use of resources and better conservation outcomes (Chisom et al., 2024).

In Asia and Africa, where resources for invasive species management are often more limited, AI-driven approaches have focused on leveraging existing technologies in innovative ways (Adebola & Ibeke, 2023). For example, in parts of Asia, researchers have developed low-cost AI-powered smartphone apps that allow local communities to report sightings of invasive species, contributing to early detection and rapid response efforts (Dauvergne, 2020; Halubanza, 2024). In Africa, AI-driven predictive models have been used to assess the risk of invasive species spreading in response to climate change, helping to inform regional conservation strategies (Chisom et al., 2024).

On a global scale, AI is increasingly being used to address the challenges posed by marine invasive species, which can spread rapidly across international borders via shipping routes (Martinez et al., 2020). AI-driven systems that analyze shipping data and environmental conditions have been developed to predict the likely introduction and spread of invasive species in marine environments (Sarantopoulos, 2024). These systems have been used to inform the development of international policies and regulations aimed at preventing the spread of invasive species through ballast water and hull fouling (Firestone & Corbett, 2005).

These regional and global case studies demonstrate the versatility and adaptability of AI in addressing the complex challenges posed by invasive species (Chamara et al., 2020). While the specific applications and outcomes may vary depending on regional contexts, the overarching theme is clear: AI has the potential to significantly enhance the effectiveness of invasive species management efforts around the world (Hagerty & Rubinov, 2019).

Challenges and Future Directions

Current Limitations

Despite the significant potential of AI in invasive species management, several limitations must be addressed to fully realize its benefits. One of the most pressing limitations is the availability and quality of data (Stahl, 2021). AI models require large amounts of high-quality data to function effectively, but in many regions, particularly in the Global South, such data may be scarce or incomplete (Bachmann et al., 2022). This lack of data can limit the accuracy and applicability of AI models, particularly in regions where invasive species are a major threat but where resources for data collection and management are limited (Elith, 2017).

Another limitation is the computational cost associated with developing and deploying AI models. High-performance computing resources are often required to process the large datasets and complex algorithms that underpin AI-driven systems (Pyzer-

Knapp et al., 2022). For many organizations, particularly those with limited budgets, these costs can be prohibitive. Furthermore, the deployment of AI systems often requires specialized technical expertise, which may not be readily available in all regions (Dwivedi et al., 2021).

Interoperability is another challenge that must be addressed. AI-driven systems often rely on data from a variety of sources, including satellite imagery, remote sensors, and field surveys (Yue et al., 2022). Ensuring that these different data sources can be integrated and analyzed in a cohesive manner is essential for the effectiveness of AI models (Janssen et al., 2017). However, differences in data formats, standards, and protocols can create barriers to interoperability, limiting the ability of AI systems to function as intended (Rasheed, 2024).

Potential Risks and Mitigation

The deployment of AI in invasive species management also presents potential risks that must be carefully managed. One such risk is the possibility of unintended consequences resulting from AI-generated recommendations (Rasheed, 2024). For example, if an AI model recommends the use of a particular chemical treatment to control an invasive species, there may be unforeseen impacts on non-target species or ecosystem functions (Demirel & Kumral, 2021). To mitigate these risks, it is essential to conduct thorough evaluations of AI-generated recommendations before they are implemented in the field. This includes considering the potential ecological, social, and ethical implications of management actions (Gupta et al., 2022). Integrating robust ecological knowledge and stakeholder input into the decision-making process can help ensure that AI recommendations are both scientifically sound and socially acceptable (Mazzetti et al., 2022).

Another potential risk is the over-reliance on AI at the expense of traditional ecological expertise. While AI can process and analyze data at an unprecedented scale and speed, it should not replace the nuanced understanding that ecologists, conservationists, and local communities bring to invasive species management (Van Cauwenberghe, 2023). Human oversight is crucial to interpret AI outputs, adapt strategies based on real-world conditions, and address any unforeseen challenges that arise during implementation (Leslie, 2019). Therefore, AI should be viewed as a complementary tool that enhances, rather than replaces, traditional expertise and practices (Dwivedi et al., 2021).

Moreover, the ethical implications of AI deployment, particularly regarding data privacy and the equitable distribution of resources, must be carefully considered (Hagerty & Rubinov, 2019). The collection and use of environmental data often involve sensitive information about land use, biodiversity, and local communities (Haines-Young, 2009). Ensuring that data

is collected and used ethically, with the informed consent of affected communities and in ways that respect their rights and autonomy, is essential (Harding et al., 2012). Additionally, efforts should be made to ensure that the benefits of AI-driven technologies are shared equitably across different regions and communities, particularly those that may be disproportionately affected by invasive species (Collins, 2024).

Future Research Directions

The future of AI in invasive species management is promising, with numerous avenues for research and development that could further enhance its effectiveness (Martinez et al., 2020). One important area of research is the development of AI models that can function with smaller datasets or that can be trained on data from one region and successfully applied to another (Sun et al., 2022). This would significantly broaden the applicability of AI, particularly in regions where data availability is currently a limiting factor (Allam & Dhunny, 2019).

Another promising direction is the integration of AI with other emerging technologies, such as blockchain for data verification, drones for remote sensing, and bioinformatics for genetic analysis (Siripurapu et al., 2023). For instance, combining AI with blockchain technology could improve the transparency and traceability of data used in invasive species management, ensuring that AI models are built on reliable and verifiable data sources (Chattu, 2021). Meanwhile, the integration of AI with drone technology could enhance real-time monitoring capabilities, particularly in remote or difficult-to-access areas (Rao et al., 2019).

Additionally, there is a need for interdisciplinary research that brings together ecologists, data scientists, policymakers, and social scientists to address the complex challenges of invasive species management (Vaz et al., 2017). Such collaborations could lead to the development of more holistic AI models that take into account not only ecological factors but also social, economic, and cultural considerations (Di Vaio et al., 2020). This interdisciplinary approach could also facilitate the development of AI systems that are more attuned to the needs and values of local communities, leading to more effective and equitable management strategies (Holzmeyer, 2021).

In the long term, the development of AI systems that can learn and adapt in real-time, responding dynamically to new data and changing environmental conditions, could revolutionize invasive species management (Xu et al., 2022). These adaptive AI systems could continuously refine their predictions and recommendations as new information becomes available, ensuring that management strategies remain effective in the face of rapidly changing ecological and climatic conditions (Chen et al., 2023).

Conclusion

The advent of artificial intelligence (AI) marks a transformative era in the management of invasive species, offering unprecedented opportunities to enhance detection, prediction, and response strategies. Through the integration of machine learning, environmental DNA (eDNA) barcoding, and predictive modeling, AI has already begun to revolutionize how we approach the complex and dynamic challenges posed by invasive species. These technologies enable earlier and more accurate detection, allowing for rapid response efforts that are crucial in preventing the establishment and spread of invasive species. Moreover, AI-driven decision support systems and automated monitoring tools are optimizing the allocation of resources, making management interventions more efficient and effective.

Despite these advancements, the integration of AI into invasive species management is not without challenges. Issues such as data availability, algorithmic bias, ethical considerations, and the potential for over-reliance on AI systems must be carefully addressed to ensure that these technologies are used responsibly and effectively. Importantly, AI should complement rather than replace the traditional ecological expertise and local knowledge that are essential for effective management. By fostering collaboration between AI specialists, ecologists, policymakers, and local communities, we can ensure that AI-driven solutions are scientifically sound, ethically grounded, and socially acceptable.

In summary, AI holds great promise for improving invasive species management, but its successful deployment requires careful consideration of both technological and human factors. As we move forward, it is imperative to maintain a balanced approach that leverages the strengths of AI while also respecting the wisdom and experience of those working on the front lines of conservation.

Author Contribution

Aqsa Shafiq conceptualized the study, conducted literature review, and drafted the manuscript. Muhammad Aftab supervised the research, contributed to the manuscript writing, and provided critical revisions. Muhammad Mumtaz Ali conducted data analysis, contributed to discussions on AI challenges, and assisted in manuscript editing.

REFERENCES

- Abdul-Qawy, A. S., Pramod, P., Magesh, E., & Srinivasulu, T. (2015). The internet of things (iot): An overview. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*, 5(12), 71-82.
- Adebola, T., & Ibeke, E. (2023). Agriculture in Africa: the emerging role of artificial intelligence. In. LexisNexis Butterworths.
- Adoyo, B., Schaffner, U., Mukhovi, S., Kiteme, B., Mbaabu, P. R., Eckert, S., Choge, S., & Ehrensperger, A. (2022).

- Spatiotemporal trajectories of invasive tree species reveal the importance of collective action for successful invasion management. *Journal of Land Use Science*, 17(1), 487-504.
- Agga, A., Abbou, A., Labbadi, M., El Houm, Y., & Ali, I. H. O. (2022). CNN-LSTM: An efficient hybrid deep learning architecture for predicting short-term photovoltaic power production. *Electric Power Systems Research*, 208, 107908.
- Ala-Pietilä, P., & Smuha, N. A. (2021). A framework for global cooperation on artificial intelligence and its governance. *Reflections on Artificial Intelligence for Humanity*, 237-265.
- Aldoseri, A., Al-Khalifa, K. N., & Hamouda, A. M. (2023). Rethinking data strategy and integration for artificial intelligence: concepts, opportunities, and challenges. *Applied Sciences*, 13(12), 7082.
- Ali, F., Rehman, A., Hameed, A., Sarfraz, S., Rajput, N. A., & Atiq, M. (2024). Climate Change Impact on Plant Pathogen Emergence: Artificial Intelligence (AI) Approach. In *Plant Quarantine Challenges under Climate Change Anxiety* (pp. 281-303). Springer.
- Alibabaei, K., Gaspar, P. D., Lima, T. M., Campos, R. M., Girão, I., Monteiro, J., & Lopes, C. M. (2022). A review of the challenges of using deep learning algorithms to support decision-making in agricultural activities. *Remote Sensing*, 14(3), 638.
- Allam, Z., & Dhunny, Z. A. (2019). On big data, artificial intelligence and smart cities. *Cities*, 89, 80-91.
- Alshamrani, M. (2022). IoT and artificial intelligence implementations for remote healthcare monitoring systems: A survey. *Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences*, 34(8), 4687-4701.
- Alves, V. M., Auerbach, S. S., Kleinstreuer, N., Rooney, J. P., Muratov, E. N., Rusyn, I., Tropsha, A., & Schmitt, C. (2021). Curated data in—trustworthy in silico models out: the impact of data quality on the reliability of artificial intelligence models as alternatives to animal testing. *Alternatives to Laboratory Animals*, 49(3), 73-82.
- Anthony, L. (2017). *The Aliens Among Us: How Invasive Species are Transforming the Planet—and Ourselves*. Yale University Press.
- Antrop, M. (2005). Why landscapes of the past are important for the future. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 70(1-2), 21-34.
- Anumandla, S. K. R. (2018). AI-enabled Decision Support Systems and Reciprocal Symmetry: Empowering Managers for Better Business Outcomes. *International Journal of Reciprocal Symmetry and Theoretical Physics*, 5, 33-41.
- Argyrou, A., & Agapiou, A. (2022). A review of artificial intelligence and remote sensing for archaeological research. *Remote Sensing*, 14(23), 6000.
- Bachmann, N., Tripathi, S., Brunner, M., & Jodlbauer, H. (2022). The contribution of data-driven technologies in achieving the sustainable development goals. *Sustainability*, 14(5), 2497.
- Bae, M.-J., & Park, Y.-S. (2014). Biological early warning system based on the responses of aquatic organisms to disturbances: a review. *Science of the Total Environment*, 466, 635-649.
- Baldin, M., Breunig, T., Cue, R., De Vries, A., Doornink, M., Drevenak, J., Fourdraine, R., George, R., Goodling, R., & Greenfield, R. (2021). Integrated decision support systems (IDSS) for dairy farming: A discussion on how to improve their sustained adoption. *Animals*, 11(7), 2025.
- Beijbom, O., Edmunds, P. J., Roelfsema, C., Smith, J., Kline, D. I., Neal, B. P., Dunlap, M. J., Moriarty, V., Fan, T.-Y., & Tan, C.-J. (2015). Towards automated annotation of benthic survey images: Variability of human experts and operational modes of automation. *PLoS one*, 10(7), e0130312.
- Belwafi, K., Alkadi, R., Alameri, S. A., Al Hamadi, H., & Shoufan, A. (2022). Unmanned aerial vehicles' remote identification: A tutorial and survey. *IEEE Access*, 10, 87577-87601.
- Beng, K. C., & Corlett, R. T. (2020). Applications of environmental DNA (eDNA) in ecology and conservation: opportunities, challenges and prospects. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 29(7), 2089-2121.
- Biondi, A., Mommaerts, V., Smagghe, G., Vinuela, E., Zappalà, L., & Desneux, N. (2012). The non-target impact of spinosyns on beneficial arthropods. *Pest Management Science*, 68(12), 1523-1536.
- Brodrick, P. G., Davies, A. B., & Asner, G. P. (2019). Uncovering ecological patterns with convolutional neural networks. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 34(8), 734-745.
- Buchelt, A., Adrowitzer, A., Kieseberg, P., Gollob, C., Nothdurft, A., Eresheim, S., Tschischek, S., Stampfer, K., & Holzinger, A. (2024). Exploring artificial intelligence for applications of drones in forest ecology and management. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 551, 121530.
- Causevic, A., Causevic, S., Fielding, M., & Barrott, J. (2024). Artificial intelligence for sustainability: opportunities and risks of utilizing Earth observation technologies to protect forests. *Discover Conservation*, 1(1), 2.
- Chalmers, C., Fergus, P., Wich, S., & Montanez, A. C. (2019). Conservation AI: Live stream analysis for the detection of endangered species using convolutional neural networks and drone technology. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.07360*.
- Chamara, R., Senevirathne, S., Samarasinghe, S., Premasiri, M., Sandaruwani, K., Dissanayake, D., De Silva, S., Ariyaratne, W., & Marambe, B. (2020). Role of artificial intelligence in achieving global food security: a promising technology for future. *Sri Lanka Journal of Food and Agriculture*, 6(2).
- Chan, M., Estève, D., Fourniols, J.-Y., Escriba, C., & Campo, E. (2012). Smart wearable systems: Current status and future challenges. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, 56(3), 137-156.
- Chattu, V. K. (2021). A review of artificial intelligence, big data, and blockchain technology applications in medicine and global health. *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, 5(3), 41.
- Chen, L., Chen, Z., Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., Osman, A. I., Farghali, M., Hua, J., Al-Fatesh, A., Ihara, I., & Rooney, D. W. (2023). Artificial intelligence-based solutions for climate change: a review. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 21(5), 2525-2557.
- Chen, P., Wu, L., & Wang, L. (2023). AI fairness in data management and analytics: A review on challenges, methodologies and applications. *Applied Sciences*, 13(18), 10258.
- Chen, Y.-N., Fan, K.-C., Chang, Y.-L., & Moriyama, T. (2023). Special issue review: artificial intelligence and machine learning applications in remote sensing. In (Vol. 15, pp. 569): MDPI.
- Chisom, O. N., Bui, P. W., Umoh, A. A., Obaedo, B. O., Adegbite, A. O., & Abatan, A. (2024). Reviewing the role of AI in environmental monitoring and conservation: A data-driven revolution for our planet. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 21(1), 161-171.
- Clarke, D. A., Palmer, D. J., McGrannachan, C., Burgess, T. I.,

- Chown, S. L., Clarke, R. H., Kumschick, S., Lach, L., Liebhold, A. M., & Roy, H. E. (2021). Options for reducing uncertainty in impact classification for alien species. *Ecosphere*, 12(4), e03461.
- Coghlan, S., & Parker, C. (2023). Harm to Nonhuman animals from AI: A systematic account and framework. *Philosophy & Technology*, 36(2), 25.
- Cohen, J. G., & Lewis, M. J. (2020). Development of an automated monitoring platform for invasive plants in a rare Great Lakes ecosystem using uncrewed aerial systems and convolutional neural networks. 2020 international conference on unmanned aircraft systems (ICUAS),
- Collins, A. C. (2024). Harnessing Innovations in AI and Robotics for Environmental Conservation: A Comprehensive Overview.
- Comtet, T., Sandionigi, A., Viard, F., & Casiraghi, M. (2015). DNA (meta) barcoding of biological invasions: a powerful tool to elucidate invasion processes and help managing aliens. *Biological Invasions*, 17, 905-922.
- Cuthbert, R. N., Diagne, C., Hudgins, E. J., Turbelin, A., Ahmed, D. A., Albert, C., Bodey, T. W., Briski, E., Essl, F., & Haubrock, P. J. (2022). Biological invasion costs reveal insufficient proactive management worldwide. *Science of the Total Environment*, 819, 153404.
- da Silva, S. D. P., Eugenio, F. C., Fantinel, R. A., de Paula Amaral, L., dos Santos, A. R., Mallmann, C. L., dos Santos, F. D., Pereira, R. S., & Ruoso, R. (2023). Modeling and detection of invasive trees using UAV image and machine learning in a subtropical forest in Brazil. *Ecological Informatics*, 74, 101989.
- Darling, J. A., & Blum, M. J. (2007). DNA-based methods for monitoring invasive species: a review and prospectus. *Biological Invasions*, 9, 751-765.
- Darling, J. A., & Mahon, A. R. (2011). From molecules to management: adopting DNA-based methods for monitoring biological invasions in aquatic environments. *Environmental Research*, 111(7), 978-988.
- Dauvergne, P. (2020). *AI in the Wild: Sustainability in the Age of Artificial Intelligence*. MIT Press.
- De Groot, M., O'Hanlon, R., Bullas-Appleton, E., Csóka, G., Csiszár, Á., Faccoli, M., Gervasini, E., Kirichenko, N., Korda, M., & Marinšek, A. (2020). Challenges and solutions in early detection, rapid response and communication about potential invasive alien species in forests. *Management of Biological Invasions*, 11(4), 637-660.
- Dejean, T., Valentini, A., Miquel, C., Taberlet, P., Bellemain, E., & Miaud, C. (2012). Improved detection of an alien invasive species through environmental DNA barcoding: the example of the American bullfrog *Lithobates catesbeianus*. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 49(4), 953-959.
- Demertzis, K., & Iliadis, L. (2017). Detecting invasive species with a bio-inspired semi-supervised neurocomputing approach: The case of *Lagocephalus scleratus*. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 28, 1225-1234.
- Demirel, M., & Kumral, N. A. (2021). Artificial intelligence in integrated pest management. In *Artificial intelligence and IoT-based technologies for sustainable farming and smart agriculture* (pp. 289-313). IGI Global.
- Di Vaio, A., Palladino, R., Hassan, R., & Escobar, O. (2020). Artificial intelligence and business models in the sustainable development goals perspective: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Business Research*, 121, 283-314.
- Díaz-Rodríguez, N., Del Ser, J., Coeckelbergh, M., de Prado, M. L., Herrera-Viedma, E., & Herrera, F. (2023). Connecting the dots in trustworthy Artificial Intelligence: From AI principles, ethics, and key requirements to responsible AI systems and regulation. *Information Fusion*, 99, 101896.
- Dirgová Luptáková, I., Pospíchal, J., & Huraj, L. (2023). Beyond Code and Algorithms: Navigating Ethical Complexities in Artificial Intelligence. In *Proceedings of the Computational Methods in Systems and Software* (pp. 316-332). Springer.
- Ditria, E. M., Buelow, C. A., Gonzalez-Rivero, M., & Connolly, R. M. (2022). Artificial intelligence and automated monitoring for assisting conservation of marine ecosystems: A perspective. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 9, 918104.
- Dogan, G., Vaidya, D., Bromhal, M., & Banday, N. (2024). Artificial intelligence in marine biology. In *A Biologist's Guide to Artificial Intelligence* (pp. 241-254). Elsevier.
- Dufloy, J. R., Sutherland, J. W., Dornfeld, D., Herrmann, C., Jeswiet, J., Kara, S., Hauschild, M., & Kellens, K. (2012). Towards energy and resource efficient manufacturing: A processes and systems approach. *CIRP Annals*, 61(2), 587-609.
- Dukes, J. S., Pontius, J., Orwig, D., Garnas, J. R., Rodgers, V. L., Brazeal, N., Cooke, B., Theoharides, K. A., Stange, E. E., & Harrington, R. (2009). Responses of insect pests, pathogens, and invasive plant species to climate change in the forests of northeastern North America: what can we predict? *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, 39(2), 231-248.
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts, G., Coombs, C., Crick, T., Duan, Y., Dwivedi, R., Edwards, J., & Eirug, A. (2021). Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 57, 101994.
- Early, R., Bradley, B. A., Dukes, J. S., Lawler, J. J., Olden, J. D., Blumenthal, D. M., Gonzalez, P., Grosholz, E. D., Ibañez, I., & Miller, L. P. (2016). Global threats from invasive alien species in the twenty-first century and national response capacities. *Nature Communications*, 7(1), 12485.
- Elith, J. (2017). Predicting distributions of invasive species. *Invasive species: Risk assessment and management*, 10(9781139019606.006).
- Escobar, L. E., Mallez, S., McCartney, M., Lee, C., Zielinski, D. P., Ghosal, R., Bajer, P. G., Wagner, C., Nash, B., & Tomamichel, M. (2018). Aquatic invasive species in the Great Lakes Region: an overview. *Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture*, 26(1), 121-138.
- Fascista, A. (2022). Toward integrated large-scale environmental monitoring using WSN/UAV/Crowdsensing: A review of applications, signal processing, and future perspectives. *Sensors*, 22(5), 1824.
- Felzmann, H., Fosch-Villaronga, E., Lutz, C., & Tamò-Larrieux, A. (2020). Towards transparency by design for artificial intelligence. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 26(6), 3333-3361.
- Ferrara, E. (2023). Fairness and bias in artificial intelligence: A brief survey of sources, impacts, and mitigation strategies. *Science*, 6(1), 3.
- Filip, F. G. (2008). Decision support and control for large-scale complex systems. *Annual Reviews in Control*, 32(1), 61-70.
- Finch, D. M., Butler, J. L., Runyon, J. B., Fettig, C. J., Kilkenny, F. F., Jose, S., Frankel, S. J., Cushman, S. A., Cobb, R. C., & Dukes, J. S. (2021). Effects of climate change on invasive species. *Invasive species in forests and rangelands of the United States: a comprehensive science synthesis for the United States Forest Sector*, 57-83.

- Firestone, J., & Corbett, J. J. (2005). Coastal and port environments: international legal and policy responses to reduce ballast water introductions of potentially invasive species. *Ocean Development & International Law*, 36(3), 291-316.
- Galloway, A., Brunet, D., Valipour, R., McCusker, M., Biberhofer, J., Sobol, M. K., Moussa, M., & Taylor, G. W. (2022). Predicting dreissenid mussel abundance in nearshore waters using underwater imagery and deep learning. *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods*, 20(4), 233-248.
- García-Moreno, F. M., Ruiz-Espigares, J., Gutiérrez-Naranjo, M. A., & Marchal, J. A. (2024). Using deep learning for predicting the dynamic evolution of breast cancer migration. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 180, 108890.
- Gonzalez, L. F., Montes, G. A., Puig, E., Johnson, S., Mengersen, K., & Gaston, K. J. (2016). Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) and artificial intelligence revolutionizing wildlife monitoring and conservation. *Sensors*, 16(1), 97.
- Górriz, J. M., Ramírez, J., Ortiz, A., Martínez-Murcia, F. J., Segovia, F., Suckling, J., Leming, M., Zhang, Y.-D., Álvarez-Sánchez, J. R., & Bologna, G. (2020). Artificial intelligence within the interplay between natural and artificial computation: Advances in data science, trends and applications. *Neurocomputing*, 410, 237-270.
- Groves, D. G., & Lempert, R. J. (2007). A new analytic method for finding policy-relevant scenarios. *Global Environmental Change*, 17(1), 73-85.
- Guan, W., Zhang, H., & Leung, V. C. (2021). Customized slicing for 6G: Enforcing artificial intelligence on resource management. *IEEE Network*, 35(5), 264-271.
- Gudala, L., Shaik, M., Venkataramanan, S., & Sadhu, A. K. R. (2019). Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for Enhanced Threat Detection, Response, and Anomaly Identification in Resource-Constrained IoT Networks. *Distributed Learning and Broad Applications in Scientific Research*, 5, 23-54.
- Gupta, A., Wright, C., Ganapini, M. B., Sweidan, M., & Butalid, R. (2022). State of AI ethics report (volume 6, february 2022). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.07435*.
- Gupta, M., Abdelsalam, M., Khorsandroo, S., & Mittal, S. (2020). Security and privacy in smart farming: Challenges and opportunities. *IEEE Access*, 8, 34564-34584.
- Gupta, S., Modgil, S., Bhattacharyya, S., & Bose, I. (2022). Artificial intelligence for decision support systems in the field of operations research: review and future scope of research. *Annals of Operations Research*, 308(1), 215-274.
- Haack, R. A., Hérard, F., Sun, J., & Turgeon, J. J. (2010). Managing invasive populations of Asian longhorned beetle and citrus longhorned beetle: a worldwide perspective. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 55(1), 521-546.
- Hagerty, A., & Rubinov, I. (2019). Global AI ethics: a review of the social impacts and ethical implications of artificial intelligence. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.07892*.
- Haimes, Y. Y. (2011). *Risk modeling, assessment, and management*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Haines-Young, R. (2009). Land use and biodiversity relationships. *Land use Policy*, 26, S178-S186.
- Halubanza, B. (2024). *A framework for an early warning system for the management of the spread of locust invasion based on artificial intelligence technologies* [The University of Zambia].
- Harding, A., Harper, B., Stone, D., O'Neill, C., Berger, P., Harris, S., & Donatuto, J. (2012). Conducting research with tribal communities: Sovereignty, ethics, and data-sharing issues. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 120(1), 6-10.
- Hasegan, M. F., Nudurupati, S. S., & Childe, S. J. (2018). Predicting performance—a dynamic capability view. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 38(11), 2192-2213.
- Hilbeck, A., Arpaia, S., Birch, A. N. E., Chen, Y., Fontes, E. M., Lang, A., Le Thi Thu Hong, L. T. T. H., Lövei, G. L., Manachini, B., & Nguyen Thi Thu Cuc, N. T. T. C. (2008). Non-target and biological diversity risk assessment. In *Environmental risk assessment of genetically modified organisms: challenges and opportunities with Bt cotton in Vietnam*, Vol. 4 (pp. 115-137). CABI Wallingford UK.
- Holzmeier, C. (2021). Beyond 'AI for Social Good'(AI4SG): social transformations—not tech-fixes—for health equity. *Interdisciplinary Science Reviews*, 46(1-2), 94-125.
- Høyte, T., August, T., Balzan, M. V., Biesmeijer, K., Bonnet, P., Breeze, T., Dominik, C., Gerard, F., Joly, A., & Kalkman, V. (2023). Modern approaches to the monitoring of Biodiversity (MAMBO). *Research Ideas and Outcomes*, 9, e116951.
- Høyte, T. T., Ärje, J., Bjerger, K., Hansen, O. L., Iosifidis, A., Leese, F., Mann, H. M., Meissner, K., Melvad, C., & Raitoharju, J. (2021). Deep learning and computer vision will transform entomology. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(2), e2002545117.
- Hulme, P. E. (2006). Beyond control: wider implications for the management of biological invasions. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 43(5), 835-847.
- Isabelle, D. A., & Westerlund, M. (2022). A review and categorization of artificial intelligence-based opportunities in wildlife, ocean and land conservation. *Sustainability*, 14(4), 1979.
- Ish, D., Ettinger, J., & Ferris, C. (2021). *Evaluating the effectiveness of artificial intelligence systems in intelligence analysis*. RAND Corporation Santa Monica, CA.
- Janssen, S. J., Porter, C. H., Moore, A. D., Athanasiadis, I. N., Foster, I., Jones, J. W., & Antle, J. M. (2017). Towards a new generation of agricultural system data, models and knowledge products: Information and communication technology. *Agricultural Systems*, 155, 200-212.
- Jarrahi, M. H. (2018). Artificial intelligence and the future of work: Human-AI symbiosis in organizational decision making. *Business Horizons*, 61(4), 577-586.
- Jiménez López, J., & Mulero-Pázmány, M. (2019). Drones for conservation in protected areas: Present and future. *Drones*, 3(1), 10.
- Johnston, E. L., Dafforn, K. A., Clark, G. F., Rius, M., & Floerl, O. (2017). Anthropogenic activities promoting the establishment and spread of marine non-indigenous species post-arrival.
- Kattenborn, T., Leitloff, J., Schiefer, F., & Hinz, S. (2021). Review on Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) in vegetation remote sensing. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 173, 24-49.
- Keller, R. P., Drake, J. M., Drew, M. B., & Lodge, D. M. (2011). Linking environmental conditions and ship movements to estimate invasive species transport across the global shipping network. *Diversity and Distributions*, 17(1), 93-102.
- Knoll, F., Hammernik, K., Zhang, C., Moeller, S., Pock, T., Sodickson, D. K., & Akcakaya, M. (2020). Deep-learning methods for parallel magnetic resonance imaging reconstruction: A survey of the current approaches, trends, and issues. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 37(1), 128-140.

- Kyle, K. E. (2023). *Using eDNA to Bridge the Gap Between Species Presence and Detection: Implications for Conservation and Invasive Species Management* Rutgers The State University of New Jersey, School of Graduate Studies].
- Lahoz-Monfort, J. J., & Magrath, M. J. (2021). A comprehensive overview of technologies for species and habitat monitoring and conservation. *BioScience*, 71(10), 1038-1062.
- Lake, T. A., Briscoe Runquist, R. D., & Moeller, D. A. (2022). Deep learning detects invasive plant species across complex landscapes using Worldview-2 and Planetscope satellite imagery. *Remote Sensing in Ecology and Conservation*, 8(6), 875-889.
- Lantschner, M. V., de la Vega, G., & Corley, J. C. (2019). Predicting the distribution of harmful species and their natural enemies in agricultural, livestock and forestry systems: an overview. *International Journal of Pest Management*, 65(3), 190-206.
- Leslie, D. (2019). Understanding artificial intelligence ethics and safety. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.05684*.
- Lévy, L.-N. (2024). *Advanced clustering and AI-driven decision support systems for smart energy management* Université Paris-Saclay].
- Levy, O., & Shahar, S. (2024). Artificial Intelligence for Climate Change Biology: From Data Collection to Predictions. *Integrative And Comparative Biology*, icae127.
- Liang, W., Tadesse, G. A., Ho, D., Fei-Fei, L., Zaharia, M., Zhang, C., & Zou, J. (2022). Advances, challenges and opportunities in creating data for trustworthy AI. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 4(8), 669-677.
- Lodge, D. M., Simonin, P. W., Burgiel, S. W., Keller, R. P., Bossenbroek, J. M., Jerde, C. L., Kramer, A. M., Rutherford, E. S., Barnes, M. A., & Wittmann, M. E. (2016). Risk analysis and bioeconomics of invasive species to inform policy and management. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 41(1), 453-488.
- Majeed, A., & Hwang, S. O. (2021). Data-driven analytics leveraging artificial intelligence in the era of COVID-19: an insightful review of recent developments. *Symmetry*, 14(1), 16.
- Mårtensson, P.-Å., Hedström, L., Sundelius, B., Skiby, J. E., Elbers, A., & Knutsson, R. (2013). Actionable knowledge and strategic decision making for bio-and agroterrorism threats: building a collaborative early warning culture. *Biosecurity and Bioterrorism: Biodefense Strategy, Practice, and Science*, 11(S1), S46-S54.
- Martinez, B., Reaser, J. K., Dehgan, A., Zamft, B., Baisch, D., McCormick, C., Giordano, A. J., Aicher, R., & Selbe, S. (2020). Technology innovation: advancing capacities for the early detection of and rapid response to invasive species. *Biological Invasions*, 22(1), 75-100.
- Mazzetti, P., Nativi, S., Santoro, M., Giuliani, G., Rodila, D., Folino, A., Caruso, S., Aracri, G., & Lehmann, A. (2022). Knowledge formalization for Earth Science informed decision-making: The GEOEssential knowledge base. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 131, 93-104.
- Meyerson, L. A., Pauchard, A., Brundu, G., Carlton, J. T., Hierro, J. L., Kueffer, C., Pandit, M. K., Pyšek, P., Richardson, D. M., & Packer, J. G. (2022). Moving toward global strategies for managing invasive alien species. In *Global Plant Invasions* (pp. 331-360). Springer.
- Miller, B. W., Eaton, M. J., Symstad, A. J., Schuurman, G. W., Rangwala, I., & Travis, W. R. (2023). Scenario-based decision analysis: integrated scenario planning and structured decision making for resource management under climate change. In (pp. 110275): Elsevier.
- Miller, K. D., & Waller, H. G. (2003). Scenarios, real options and integrated risk management. *Long Range Planning*, 36(1), 93-107.
- Mohan, M., Richardson, G., Gopan, G., Aghai, M. M., Bajaj, S., Galgamuwa, G. P., Vastaranta, M., Arachchige, P. S. P., Amorós, L., & Corte, A. P. D. (2021). UAV-supported forest regeneration: Current trends, challenges and implications. *Remote Sensing*, 13(13), 2596.
- Molnar, J. L., Gamboa, R. L., Revenga, C., & Spalding, M. D. (2008). Assessing the global threat of invasive species to marine biodiversity. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 6(9), 485-492.
- Morisette, J., Burgiel, S., Brantley, K., Daniel, W. M., Darling, J., Davis, J., Franklin, T., Gaddis, K., Hunter, M., & Lance, R. (2021). Strategic considerations for invasive species managers in the utilization of environmental DNA (eDNA): steps for incorporating this powerful surveillance tool. *Management of biological invasions: international journal of applied research on biological invasions*, 12(3), 747.
- Morley, J., Machado, C. C., Burr, C., Cows, J., Joshi, I., Taddeo, M., & Floridi, L. (2020). The ethics of AI in health care: a mapping review. *Social Science & Medicine*, 260, 113172.
- Morodi, T. J. (2016). *The precautionary principle and public environmental decision-making in South Africa: an ethical appraisal* Stellenbosch: Stellenbosch University].
- Moynihan, D. P. (2008). Learning under uncertainty: Networks in crisis management. *Public Administration Review*, 68(2), 350-365.
- Nahrung, H. F., Liebhold, A. M., Brockerhoff, E. G., & Rassati, D. (2023). Forest insect biosecurity: processes, patterns, predictions, pitfalls. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 68(1), 211-229.
- Nega, A. (2014). Review on concepts in biological control of plant pathogens. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, 4(27), 33-54.
- Nininahazwe, F., Théau, J., Marc Antoine, G., & Varin, M. (2023). Mapping invasive alien plant species with very high spatial resolution and multi-date satellite imagery using object-based and machine learning techniques: A comparative study. *GIScience & Remote Sensing*, 60(1), 2190203.
- Norros, V., Laamanen, T., Meissner, K., Iso-Touru, T., Kahilainen, A., Lehtinen, S., Lohtander-Buckbee, K., Nygård, H., Pennanen, T., & Ruohonen-Lehto, M. (2022). Roadmap for implementing environmental DNA (eDNA) and other molecular monitoring methods in Finland–Vision and action plan for 2022–2025.
- Ohmann, C., Banzi, R., Canham, S., Battaglia, S., Matei, M., Ariyo, C., Becnel, L., Bierer, B., Bowers, S., & Clivio, L. (2017). Sharing and reuse of individual participant data from clinical trials: principles and recommendations. *BMJ Open*, 7(12), e018647.
- Økland, B., Haack, R. A., & Wilhelmsen, G. (2012). Detection probability of forest pests in current inspection protocols—A case study of the bronze birch borer. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*, 27(3), 285-297.
- Opitz, R., & Herrmann, J. (2018). Recent trends and long-standing problems in archaeological remote sensing. *Journal of Computer Applications in Archaeology*, 1(1), 19-41.
- Ortiz-Barrios, M., Arias-Fonseca, S., Ishizaka, A., Barbati, M., Avendaño-Collante, B., & Navarro-Jiménez, E. (2023). Artificial intelligence and discrete-event simulation for capacity management of intensive care units during the

- Covid-19 pandemic: a case study. *Journal of Business Research*, 160, 113806.
- Pal, O. K., Shovon, M. S. H., Mridha, M. F., & Shin, J. (2023). A Comprehensive Review of AI-enabled Unmanned Aerial Vehicle: Trends, Vision, and Challenges. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.16360*.
- Paliwal, A., Mhelezi, M., Galgallo, D., Banerjee, R., Malicha, W., & Whitbread, A. (2024). Utilizing Artificial Intelligence and Remote Sensing to Detect *Prosopis juliflora* Invasion: Environmental Drivers and Community Insights in Rangelands of Kenya. *Plants*, 13(13), 1868.
- Palvi, A. (2023). The Effects of Northern Forage Crop Species on the Soil Carbon Sequestration and Microbial Community Structure.
- Pimentel, D., Zuniga, R., & Morrison, D. (2005). Update on the environmental and economic costs associated with alien-invasive species in the United States. *Ecological Economics*, 52(3), 273-288.
- Pramanik, P. K. D., Pal, S., & Choudhury, P. (2018). Beyond automation: the cognitive IoT. artificial intelligence brings sense to the Internet of Things. *Cognitive Computing for Big Data Systems Over IoT: Frameworks, Tools and Applications*, 1-37.
- Prodanovic, V., Bach, P. M., & Stojkovic, M. (2024). Urban nature-based solutions planning for biodiversity outcomes: human, ecological, and artificial intelligence perspectives. *Urban Ecosystems*, 1-12.
- Pyšek, P., & Richardson, D. M. (2010). Invasive species, environmental change and management, and health. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 35(1), 25-55.
- Pyzer-Knapp, E. O., Pitera, J. W., Staar, P. W., Takeda, S., Laino, T., Sanders, D. P., Sexton, J., Smith, J. R., & Curioni, A. (2022). Accelerating materials discovery using artificial intelligence, high performance computing and robotics. *npj Computational Materials*, 8(1), 84.
- Rakhmatulin, I., Kamilaris, A., & Andreassen, C. (2021). Deep neural networks to detect weeds from crops in agricultural environments in real-time: A review. *Remote Sensing*, 13(21), 4486.
- Rao, B., Mulloth, B., & Harrison, A. J. (2019). Integrating AI Capabilities into Existing Technology Platforms: Drones as a Case in Point. 2019 Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering and Technology (PICMET),
- Rasheed, H. (2024). Consideration of Cloud-Web-Concepts for Standardization and Interoperability: A Comprehensive Review for Sustainable Enterprise Systems, AI, and IoT Integration. *Journal of Information Technology and Informatics*, 3(2).
- Rees, W. E. (2006). Globalization, trade and migration: Undermining sustainability. *Ecological Economics*, 59(2), 220-225.
- Reid, A. J., Carlson, A. K., Creed, I. F., Eliason, E. J., Gell, P. A., Johnson, P. T., Kidd, K. A., MacCormack, T. J., Olden, J. D., & Ormerod, S. J. (2019). Emerging threats and persistent conservation challenges for freshwater biodiversity. *Biological Reviews*, 94(3), 849-873.
- Sahoo, B. B., Jha, R., Singh, A., & Kumar, D. (2019). Long short-term memory (LSTM) recurrent neural network for low-flow hydrological time series forecasting. *Acta Geophysica*, 67(5), 1471-1481.
- Sarantopoulos, F. (2024). *Decarbonizing the Shipping industry through Innovative Technologies, Artificial Intelligence and New Regulations* [Massachusetts Institute of Technology].
- Sarker, I. H. (2021). Machine learning: Algorithms, real-world applications and research directions. *SN Computer Science*, 2(3), 160.
- Sey, A., & Mudongo, O. (2021). Case studies on AI skills capacity building and AI in workforce development in Africa. *Research ICT Africa*. Available from: <https://researchictafrica.net/publication/case-studies-on-ai-skills-capacity-buildingand-ai-in-workforce-development-in-africa>.
- Sharma, A., Sharma, V., Jaiswal, M., Wang, H.-C., Jayakody, D. N. K., Basnayaka, C. M. W., & Muthanna, A. (2022). Recent trends in AI-based intelligent sensing. *Electronics*, 11(10), 1661.
- Sharma, S., Sato, K., & Gautam, B. P. (2023). A Methodological Literature Review of Acoustic Wildlife Monitoring Using Artificial Intelligence Tools and Techniques. *Sustainability*, 15(9), 7128.
- Shin, D. D. (2023). *Algorithms, humans, and interactions: how do algorithms interact with people? Designing meaningful AI experiences*. Taylor & Francis.
- Shivaprakash, K. N., Swami, N., Mysorekar, S., Arora, R., Gangadharan, A., Vohra, K., Jadeyegowda, M., & Kiesecker, J. M. (2022). Potential for artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) applications in biodiversity conservation, managing forests, and related services in India. *Sustainability*, 14(12), 7154.
- Siddiqui, M. N. (2023). AI Revolution: Empowering The Future With Artificial Intelligence. *Pakistan Journal of International Affairs*, 6(3).
- Singh, S. K., Rathore, S., & Park, J. H. (2020). Blockiotintelligence: A blockchain-enabled intelligent IoT architecture with artificial intelligence. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 110, 721-743.
- Siripurapu, S., Darimireddy, N. K., Chehri, A., Sridhar, B., & Paramkusam, A. (2023). Technological advancements and elucidation gadgets for Healthcare applications: An exhaustive methodological review-part-I (AI, big data, block chain, open-source technologies, and cloud Computing). *Electronics*, 12(3), 750.
- Skulimowski, A. M., & Bañuls, V. A. (2021). AI alignment of disaster resilience management support systems. *Artificial Intelligence and Soft Computing: 20th International Conference, ICAISC 2021, Virtual Event, June 21–23, 2021, Proceedings, Part II 20*,
- Stahl, B. C. (2021). *Artificial intelligence for a better future: an ecosystem perspective on the ethics of AI and emerging digital technologies*. Springer Nature.
- Stefanni, S., Mirimin, L., Stanković, D., Chatzievangelou, D., Bongiorno, L., Marini, S., Modica, M. V., Manea, E., Bonofiglio, F., & del Rio Fernandez, J. (2022). Framing cutting-edge integrative deep-sea biodiversity monitoring via environmental DNA and optoacoustic augmented infrastructures. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 8, 797140.
- Stohlgren, T. J., & Schnase, J. L. (2006). Risk analysis for biological hazards: what we need to know about invasive species. *Risk Analysis: An International Journal*, 26(1), 163-173.
- Sun, Z., Sandoval, L., Crystal-Ornelas, R., Mousavi, S. M., Wang, J., Lin, C., Cristea, N., Tong, D., Carande, W. H., & Ma, X. (2022). A review of earth artificial intelligence. *Computers & Geosciences*, 159, 105034.
- Tambe, P., Cappelli, P., & Yakubovich, V. (2019). Artificial intelligence in human resources management: Challenges and a path forward. *California Management Review*, 61(4), 15-42.
- Thomas, S. M., Simmons, G. S., & Daugherty, M. P. (2017). Spatiotemporal distribution of an invasive insect in an

- urban landscape: introduction, establishment and impact. *Landscape Ecology*, 32, 2041-2057.
- Traore, B. B., Kamsu-Foguem, B., & Tangara, F. (2018). Deep convolution neural network for image recognition. *Ecological Informatics*, 48, 257-268.
- Valicharla, S. K., Li, X., Greenleaf, J., Turcotte, R., Hayes, C., & Park, Y.-L. (2023). Precision detection and assessment of ash death and decline caused by the emerald ash borer using drones and deep learning. *Plants*, 12(4), 798.
- Valiente-Banuet, A., Aizen, M. A., Alcántara, J. M., Arroyo, J., Cocucci, A., Galetti, M., García, M. B., García, D., Gómez, J. M., & Jordano, P. (2015). Beyond species loss: the extinction of ecological interactions in a changing world. *Functional Ecology*, 29(3), 299-307.
- Van Cauwenberghe, A. (2023). CONTRIBUTION OF DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES TO AGROFORESTRY SYSTEMS Ghent University].
- van Rees, C. B., Hand, B. K., Carter, S. C., Barger, C., Cline, T. J., Daniel, W., Ferrante, J. A., Gaddis, K., Hunter, M. E., & Jarnevich, C. S. (2022). A framework to integrate innovations in invasion science for proactive management. *Biological Reviews*, 97(4), 1712-1735.
- Vaz, A. S., Kueffer, C., Kull, C. A., Richardson, D. M., Schindler, S., Muñoz-Pajares, A. J., Vicente, J. R., Martins, J., Hui, C., & Kühn, I. (2017). The progress of interdisciplinarity in invasion science. *Ambio*, 46, 428-442.
- Venette, R. C., Gordon, D. R., Juzwik, J., Koch, F. H., Liebhold, A. M., Peterson, R. K., Sing, S. E., & Yemshanov, D. (2021). Early intervention strategies for invasive species management: connections between risk assessment, prevention efforts, eradication, and other rapid responses. *Invasive species in forests and rangelands of the United States: a comprehensive science synthesis for the United States Forest Sector*, 111-131.
- Viscaino, M., Bustos, J. T., Muñoz, P., Cheein, C. A., & Cheein, F. A. (2021). Artificial intelligence for the early detection of colorectal cancer: A comprehensive review of its advantages and misconceptions. *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, 27(38), 6399.
- VoPham, T., Hart, J. E., Laden, F., & Chiang, Y.-Y. (2018). Emerging trends in geospatial artificial intelligence (geoAI): potential applications for environmental epidemiology. *Environmental Health*, 17, 1-6.
- Wäldchen, J., & Mäder, P. (2018). Machine learning for image based species identification. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 9(11), 2216-2225.
- Warziniack, T., Haight, R. G., Yemshanov, D., Apriesnig, J. L., Holmes, T. P., Countryman, A. M., Rothlisberger, J. D., & Haberland, C. (2021). Economics of invasive species. *Invasive species in forests and rangelands of the United States: a comprehensive science synthesis for the United States Forest Sector*, 305-320.
- Way, M., & Van Emden, H. (2000). Integrated pest management in practice—pathways towards successful application. *Crop Protection*, 19(2), 81-103.
- Werner, R. M., & Asch, D. A. (2005). The unintended consequences of publicly reporting quality information. *Jama*, 293(10), 1239-1244.
- Westbrooks, R. G., Manning, S. T., & Waugh, J. D. (2022). Early detection and rapid response: a cost-effective strategy for minimizing the establishment and spread of new and emerging invasive plants by global trade, travel and climate change. In *Invasive species and global climate change* (pp. 307-326). CABI GB.
- Whittlestone, J., Nyrup, R., Alexandrova, A., Dihal, K., & Cave, S. (2019). Ethical and societal implications of algorithms, data, and artificial intelligence: a roadmap for research. London: Nuffield Foundation.
- Wong, B. B., & Candolin, U. (2015). Behavioral responses to changing environments. *Behavioral Ecology*, 26(3), 665-673.
- Wong, J., Henderson, T., & Ball, K. (2022). Data protection for the common good: Developing a framework for a data protection-focused data commons. *Data & Policy*, 4, e3.
- Xu, Y., Zhang, X., Li, H., Zheng, H., Zhang, J., Olsen, M. S., Varshney, R. K., Prasanna, B. M., & Qian, Q. (2022). Smart breeding driven by big data, artificial intelligence, and integrated genomic-environmental prediction. *Molecular Plant*, 15(11), 1664-1695.
- Yang, L., Driscoll, J., Sarigai, S., Wu, Q., Chen, H., & Lippitt, C. D. (2022). Google Earth Engine and artificial intelligence (AI): a comprehensive review. *Remote Sensing*, 14(14), 3253.
- Yue, P., Shangguan, B., Hu, L., Jiang, L., Zhang, C., Cao, Z., & Pan, Y. (2022). Towards a training data model for artificial intelligence in earth observation. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 36(11), 2113-2137.
- Zhai, C., Wibowo, S., & Li, L. D. (2024). The effects of over-reliance on AI dialogue systems on students' cognitive abilities: a systematic review. *Smart Learning Environments*, 11(1), 28.
- Zhang, M., Zou, Y., Xiao, S., & Hou, J. (2023). Environmental DNA metabarcoding serves as a promising method for aquatic species monitoring and management: A review focused on its workflow, applications, challenges and prospects. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 194, 115430